



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,075	01/06/2000	МАКОТО SATO	0670-221	4639
7	7590 05/13/2003			
SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	15
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. 09/462.075 SATO, MAKOTO Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner Aristotelis M Psitos 2653 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension. fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 25 April 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ★ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.

Aristotelis M Psito Primary Examinel Art Unit: 2653

10. Other: ___

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

8. ■ The proposed drawing correction filed on _ is a) approved or b) ■ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitation in dependent claims 2,3, and 5 (that of detecting and deleting was not previously claimed, and hence the scope of the claims have altered. This inherently requires a new search and new considerations of the art of record not performed at this time juncture under present USPTO practice. If applicant is desirous of such limitations to be included, the exmainer strongly recommends the filing of a RCE. With respect to the newly introduced subject matter with respect to key 4B and 4C, the examiner finds no support in the specification as originally found for such limitations and in accordance with Lockwood v. American Airlines INce, 41 USPQ 2nd 1961 considers such as new matter and will not enter such .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments focus on the reference lacking any disclosure with respect to the ability to input title information. Such limitation is NOT found/ nor interpreted to be in the claim. The claims merely require the ability of storing received text information in response to the operation of a first key. The examiner concludes that the disclosure as found on page 12 line 31 to cpl. 13 line 17 in Young et al as meeting such claimed limitation..

The argument art 4 nc is not pullowed.